arXiv:math/0301247v2 [math.GR] 2 Dec 2003

The structure of the group of conjugating automorphisms and the linear representation of the braid groups of some manifolds *

Valerij Bardakov

February 8, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we describe the structure of a group of conjugating automorphisms C_n and prove that this structure is similar to the structure of a braid group B_n with $n \geq 2$ strings. We find the linear representation of group C_n. Also we prove that the braid group $B_n(S^2)$ of 2–sphere, mapping class group $M(0,n)$ of the n–punctured 2–sphere and the braid group $B_3(P^2)$ of the projective plane are linear. Using result of J. Dyer, E. Formanek, E. Grossman and the faithful linear representation of Lawrence–Krammer of B_4 we construct faithful linear representation of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F28, 20F36, 20G35
Key words and phrases: free group, conjugating automorphism, automorphism group, braid group, braid group of manifold, linear representations, width of verbal subgroups.

1 Introduction

It is well known [1,2] that the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$ of a two generated free group F_2 can be constructed from cyclic groups using free and semi direct products. The following problem is open: is it true for $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ for $n > 2$. Denote $F'_n = [F_n, F_n]$ (the commutator subgroup of F_n) and $A_n = F_n/F'_n$. Now A_n is a free abelian group and we have $\mathrm{Aut}(A_n) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. The natural map from $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(A_n)$ is an epimorphism. The kernel of this map is called the group of *IA–automorphisms* and denoted as $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$. The group $\mathrm{IA}(F_2)$ is the group of inner automorpisms $\mathrm{Inn}(F_2)$ which is isomorphic to F_2, but $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ if F_n is free of rank greater than 2.

J. Nielsen showed for $n \leq 3$ and W. Magnus showed for all n (see [3, chapter 1, § 4]), that $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ is generated by the automorphisms

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i[x_j, x_k] & \text{for } k \neq i, j, \\ x_l \longmapsto x_l & \text{for } l \neq i, \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_j^{-1} x_i x_j & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ x_l \longmapsto x_l & \text{for } l \neq i, \end{cases}$$

*Partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant number 02–01–01118).

where $[a,b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ is a commutator a and b. J. Nielsen showed that $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ is the normal closure in $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ of ε_{12}. The defining relation for $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$, $n \geq 3$, is not known but S. Krstic and J. McCool [4] proved that $\mathrm{IA}(F_3)$ is not finite presented.

Denote subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ which is generated by ε_{ij}, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, by Cb_n. Group Cb_n is called *group of basis–conjugating automorphisms*. J. McCool [5] proved that this group is finitely presented and found defining relations (see below).

Group Cb_n is subgroup of group conjugating automorphisms C_n, where automorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ is called *conjugating automorphism* if it maps each generator x_i to $f_i^{-1}x_{\pi(i)}f_i$, where $f_i \in F_n$ and π is a permutation from symmetric group S_n. It is clear that if π is identical permutation then this conjugating automorphism lies in Cb_n. Automorphisms from C_n which fix product $x_1x_2...x_n$ form the braid group B_n on n strings. The braid group B_n has a normal subgroup P_n of finite index. Subgroup P_n is called *pure braid group* and quotient B_n/P_n is the symmetric group S_n. It is clear that P_n is subgroup of Cb_n. The structure of P_n is well known [6, 7]. It is the semi direct product of free groups:

$$P_n = U_n \leftthreetimes (U_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (\ldots \leftthreetimes (U_3 \leftthreetimes U_2))\ldots),$$

where $U_i \simeq F_{i-1}, i = 2,3,\ldots,n$. The following natural question arises: is it true that group of basis–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n is a semi direct product of some group? In this paper we give positive answer on this question. It will be proved the following theorem

Theorem 1.1 *The group of basis–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n, $n \geq 2$, is a semi direct product*

$$Cb_n = D_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (D_{n-2} \leftthreetimes (\ldots \leftthreetimes (D_2 \leftthreetimes D_1))\ldots),$$

where $D_i =< \varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1} >$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n-1$. Elements $\varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}$ generate a free group of rank i and elements $\varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1}$ generate a free abelian group of rank i. This decomposition is consistent with the decomposition of P_n, i. e., there is inclusion $U_{i+1} \leq D_i$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n-1$.

Remark that analogous theorem was proved by D. J. Collins and N. D. Gilbert [8] for group which is the kernel of homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{G})$, where $G = G_1 * G_2 * \ldots * G_n$ is a free product, group $\overline{G} = G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_n$ is a direct product and each factor G_i is indecomposible and is not isomorphic to infinite cyclic group.

As a corollary from this theorem we will find the some normal form for words which is presented elements from C_n. We will prove some properties of C_n and Cb_n. We will prove that for $n \geq 2$ the group C_n has no more than 4 generators and we will find defining relations. For $n \geq 2$ we will prove that Cb_n has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width. This result is closely related to the question 14.15 from "Kourovka Notebook" [9].

Also one can ask the following question:

Question 1 *For which n the group generated by ε_{ijk}, $1 \leq k \neq i,j \leq n$, is finitely defined?*

One of the most intriguing problems in the theory of braid groups is the question of whether B_n is linear, i. e., whether it admits a faithful representation into a group of matrices over field. This question was formulated by W. Burau in 1936. W. Burau [10] found an n–dimensional linear representation of B_n which for a long time had been considered as a candidate for faithful representation. However, as it was established by J. A. Moody [11] in 1991 this representation is

not faithful for $n \geq 9$. This bound was improved for $n \geq 6$ by D. Long and M. Paton [12] and to $n \geq 5$ by S. Bigelow [13]. To this date, it is unknown whether the Burau representation of B_4 is faithful.

In 1990 R. J. Lawrence [14] introduced a family of linear representations of B_n. Later D. Krammer [15] and S. Bigelow [16] proved that one of this representation is faithful. Therefore B_n are linear for all $n \geq 2$.

As it was mention above, B_n is subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. But E. Formanek and C. Procesi [17] proved that $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ is not linear for all $n \geq 3$. So the following question arises:

Question 2 *Find the maximal related inclusion subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$, $n \geq 3$, *which contains* B_n *and is linear. In particular, is it true that group* C_n *is linear?*

The second part of this problem was formulated in [9, Problem 15.9]. The one natural way for answer on this question is to extend the known representations of B_n to a representation of C_n.

In this paper we define an extension of the Burau representation on C_n and prove that the linear representation of Lawrence–Krammer extending on C_3 and for $n \geq 4$ we define the extension of this representation for some restrictions on parameters of representation.

Braid group B_n is a particular case of general construction braid group $B_n(M)$ of manifold M on n strings.

Question 3 *For which manifold* M *and natural* n *the braid group* $B_n(M)$ *on* n *strings is linear?*

The greatest interest in this problem is connected to manifolds of dimension two. In the braid groups of these manifolds only $B_n(S^2)$ of 2–sphere S^2 and $B_n(P^2)$ of projective plane P^2 have a torsion.

In this article we will prove that the mapping class group $M(0,n)$ of the n–punctured 2–sphere and braid group $B_n(S^2)$ of 2–sphere are linear for all $n \geq 2$. Also we will prove that braid group $B_3(P^2)$ of projective plane is linear (for $n = 1, 2$ this group is finite). In all cases we will construct corresponding linear representation. The result that $B_n(S^2)$ is linear was announced in [18]. As it was known to the author S. Bigelow and R. D. Budney [19] give another proof that groups $B_n(S^2)$ and $M(0,n)$ are linear.

As we noted above group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ is not linear for $n \geq 3$. It was proved in [20] that $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$ is linear if and only if braid group B_4 on 4 strings is linear. In last section we will construct the faithful linear representation of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$.

I thankful to J. Meier for pointing out on work [8] and on some non-corrected statement in the first version of the manuscript.

2 Definitions and notations

We remind some known facts which can be found in [21, 6, 7].

The group G is a *semi direct product* of A and B if there exist subgroups H and K in G such that

$$G = HK, \quad A \simeq H \trianglelefteq G, \quad B \simeq K, \quad H \cap K = 1.$$

Semi direct product is denoted as $G = A \leftthreetimes B$. If A and B have presentations

$$A =< a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \parallel A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p >, \quad B =< b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l \parallel B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q >,$$

then $G = A \leftthreetimes B$ have presentation

$$G =< a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k; b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l \parallel A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p; B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q; b_i^{-1} a_j b_i = C_{ij},$$

$$1 \leq i \leq l, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k >,$$

where $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p, C_{ij}$ are words in alphabet $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, a_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_k^{\pm 1}\}$, $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_q$ are words in alphabet $\{b_1^{\pm 1}, b_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, b_l^{\pm 1}\}$, elements $C_{i1}, C_{i2}, \ldots, C_{ik}$ generate group A for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ and conjugation by element b_i induces automorphism of group A.

Let M be a manifold of dimension ≥ 2. *Configuration space* $F_n(M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for manifold M is a set

$$F_n(M) = \{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in M^n | z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

of ordering collections of n distinct points from M. The fundamental group $P_n(M) = \pi_1(F_n(M))$ of the space $F_n(M)$ is the *pure braid group* with n strings of the manifold M. Symmetric group S_n acts on the space $F_n(M)$ permute the coordinats. This action is free and induce the regular covering of orbit space $F_n(M)/S_n$ by $F_n(M)$. The fundamental group $B_n(M) = \pi_1(F_n(M)/S_n)$ is called the *full braid group* of M, or more simply the *braid group* of M. The regular covering projection $F_n(M) \longrightarrow F_n(M)/S_n$ is induce the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow P_n(M) \longrightarrow B_n(M) \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1.$$

If M is a closed, smooth manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, then the inclusion map $F_n(M) \longrightarrow M^n$ induces a surjective homomorphism $P_n(M) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \times \ldots \times \pi_1(M)$ pure braid group $P_n(M)$ on direct product n copies of fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. If $\dim M > 2$ then this homomorphism also injective. The braid groups of manifolds of dimension 2 represent the largest interest.

Among the 2–dimension manifolds special role play the 2–sphere S^2 and the projective plane P^2 because the braid groups only this manifolds have elements of finite order. If M is a closed surface different from S^2 or P^2, then in the following sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow P_n(E^2) \longrightarrow P_n(M) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow 1$$

the kernel of each homomorphism is equal to the normal closure of the image of the previous homomorphism in the sequence. In this sequence E^2 denotes the Euclidean plane and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1(M)$ denoted the direct product of n copies of $\pi_1(M)$.

The classical braid group of Artin B_n is the braid group $B_n(E^2)$ of Euclidean plane E^2. We will call B_n simply braid group.

The braid group B_n, $n \geq 2$, with n strings can be defined as the group generated by $n-1$ generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_{n-1}$ with defining relations

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2, \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \quad |i - j| \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

There is a homomorphism $\nu : B_n \longrightarrow S_n$ from the group B_n to the symmetric group S_n on n letters defined by

$$\nu(\sigma_i) = (i, i+1), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

The kernel of homomorphism ν is the pure braid group P_n. The group P_n admits a presentation with generators

$$a_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2,$$
$$a_{ij} = \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\dots\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^2\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{j-2}^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1}, \quad i+1 < j \le n.$$

and defining relations:

$$a_{ik}^{-\nu} a_{kj} a_{ik}^{\nu} = (a_{ij}a_{kj})^{\nu}\, a_{kj}\, (a_{ij}a_{kj})^{-\nu},$$

$$a_{km}^{-\nu} a_{kj} a_{km}^{\nu} = (a_{kj}a_{mj})^{\nu}\, a_{kj}\, (a_{kj}a_{mj})^{-\nu}, \qquad m < j,$$

$$a_{im}^{-\nu} a_{kj} a_{im}^{\nu} = \left[a_{ij}^{-\nu}, a_{mj}^{-\nu}\right]^{\nu} a_{kj} \left[a_{ij}^{-\nu}, a_{mj}^{-\nu}\right]^{-\nu}, \qquad i < k < m,$$

$$a_{im}^{-\nu} a_{kj} a_{im}^{\nu} = a_{kj}, \qquad k < i; \quad m < j \ \text{ or } \ m < k,$$

where $\nu = \pm 1$.

The subgroup U_n of P_n which is generated by $a_{1,n}, a_{2,n}, \dots, a_{n-1,n}$ is free and normal in P_n. The group P_n is a semi direct product of U_n and P_{n-1}. Hence the group P_n is a semi direct product

$$P_n = U_n \leftthreetimes (U_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (\dots \leftthreetimes (U_3 \leftthreetimes U_2))\dots),$$

where $U_i =< a_{1,i}, a_{2,i}, \dots, a_{i-1,i} >, i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, is a free group of rank $i-1$.

The braid group B_n has a faithful representation as a group of automorphisms of a free group $F_n =< x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n >$ of rank n. The representation is induced by a mapping from B_n to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ defined by

$$\sigma_i : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \\ x_l \longmapsto x_l \qquad\qquad l \ne i, i+1. \end{cases}$$

The generator a_{rs} of P_n defines the following automorphism

$$a_{rs} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i & \text{if} \quad s < i \ \text{ or } \ i < r, \\ x_r \longmapsto x_r x_s x_r x_s^{-1} x_r^{-1}, & \\ x_i \longmapsto [x_r^{-1}, x_s^{-1}] x_i [x_r^{-1}, x_s^{-1}]^{-1} & \text{if} \quad r < i < s, \\ x_s \longmapsto x_r x_s x_r^{-1}. & \end{cases}$$

By the theorem of Artin [7, Theorem 1.9] automorphism $\beta \in B_n \subset \mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ if and only if β satisfies to the two conditions

$$1) \quad \beta(x_i) = f_i^{-1} x_{\pi(i)} f_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

$$2) \quad \beta(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n,$$

where π is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $f_i = f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a word in the generators of F_n.

The automorphism of F_n calls *conjugating automorphism* if it satisfies condition 1). Let C_n be a group of conjugating automorphisms. Obvious, that it has the normal subgroup Cb_n with

factor group C_n/Cb_n isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n. The group Cb_n calls the group of *basis–conjugating automorphisms*. J. McCool [5] proved, that the group Cb_n is generated by automorphisms

$$\varepsilon_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_j^{-1} x_i x_j & i \neq j, \\ x_l \longmapsto x_l & l \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$i \leq i \neq j \leq n$, with defining relations

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij}, \tag{3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kj} = \varepsilon_{kj}\varepsilon_{ij}, \tag{4}$$

$$(\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kj})\varepsilon_{ik} = \varepsilon_{ik}(\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kj}). \tag{5}$$

where distinct letters denote distinct indexes.

3 Decomposition of the group of basis–conjugating automorphisms

In this section it will be proved that the structure of Cb_n is similar to the structure of pure braid group P_n. The result is the following:

Theorem 3.1 *The group of basis–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n, $n \geq 2$ is a semi direct product*

$$Cb_n = D_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (D_{n-2} \leftthreetimes (\ldots \leftthreetimes (D_2 \leftthreetimes D_1)) \ldots),$$

where $D_i =< \varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1} >$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Elements $\varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}$ generate a free group of rank i and elements $\varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1}$ generate a free abelian group of rank i. This decomposition is consistent with the decomposition of P_n, i. e., there is inclusion $U_{i+1} \leq D_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

As an immediate consequence of this theorem we obtain the normal form of words in C_n.

Corollary 3.1 *Every element $\beta \in C_n$ can be written in the form*

$$\beta = \beta_1 \beta_2 \ldots \beta_{n-1} \pi_\beta,$$

where π_β is a permutation automorphism and each β_j belongs to the subgroup D_j.

For prove of the theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma which follow from defining relations of Cb_n

Lemma 3.1 *The following conjugating rules are true in Cb_n:*

1) $\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{kl}$,

2) $\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{kj}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{kj}$,

3) $\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{ki}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{kj}^{\nu}\varepsilon_{ki}\varepsilon_{kj}^{-\nu}$,

4) $\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{kj}^{\nu}\varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{kj}^{-\nu}$,

5) $\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = [\varepsilon_{kj}^{-\nu}, \varepsilon_{ik}]\varepsilon_{jk}$.

where $\nu = \pm 1$ and distinct letters denote distinct indexes.

Define the following subgroups in Cb_n:

$$D_i =< \varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1} >, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let k and l be the integer numbers such that $2 \leq k < l \leq n$. Then the group D_{k-1} lies in normalizer of D_{l-1}.*

Proof: From definition we have

$$D_{k-1} =< \varepsilon_{k,1}, \varepsilon_{k,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k,k-1}, \varepsilon_{1,k}, \varepsilon_{2,k}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1,k} >,$$

$$D_{l-1} =< \varepsilon_{l,1}, \varepsilon_{l,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{l,l-1}, \varepsilon_{1,l}, \varepsilon_{2,l}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{l-1,l} > .$$

Let $\varepsilon_{k,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ be some generator of D_{k-1}. We should prove that element $\varepsilon_{k,i}^{-\nu} d \varepsilon_{k,i}^{-\nu}$, $\nu = \pm 1$, lies in D_{l-1} for each generator d of D_{l-1}. By Lemma 1 we have the following conjugating rules:

$$\varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{lj} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{lj}, \quad \varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{jl} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{jl}, \quad j \neq i, k,$$

$$\varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{li} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{li},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{lk} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{li}^{\nu} \varepsilon_{lk} \varepsilon_{li}^{-\nu},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{li}^{\nu} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{li}^{-\nu},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ki}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{il} \varepsilon_{ki}^{\nu} = [\varepsilon_{li}^{-\nu}, \varepsilon_{kl}] \varepsilon_{il}.$$

We see that right parts of this rules lie in D_{l-1}. Hence in this case lemma is true.

Let ε_{ik}, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ be a generator of D_{k-1}. By Lemma 1 we have the following conjugating rules:

$$\varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{lj} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{lj}, \quad \varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{jl} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{jl}, \quad j \neq i, k,$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{lk} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{lk},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{li} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{lk}^{\nu} \varepsilon_{li} \varepsilon_{lk}^{-\nu},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{il} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{lk}^{\nu} \varepsilon_{il} \varepsilon_{lk}^{-\nu},$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}^{-\nu} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ik}^{\nu} = [\varepsilon_{lk}^{-\nu}, \varepsilon_{il}] \varepsilon_{kl}.$$

We see again that right parts of this rules lie in D_{l-1}. Hence the lemma is true in all cases. □

From this lemma with the help of induction we have

Corollary 3.2 *Subgroup D_{n-1} is normal in Cb_n.*

The following lemma is a part of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3 *If $n \geq 2$ then the group of basis–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n is a semi direct product*

$$Cb_n = D_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (D_{n-2} \leftthreetimes \ldots (\leftthreetimes (D_2 \leftthreetimes D_1)) \ldots),$$

where $D_i = < \varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1} >$, $< \varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i} > \simeq F_i$, $< \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1} > \simeq \mathbb{Z}^i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof: For $n = 2$ we have

$$Cb_2 = D_1 = < \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{12} > .$$

Since the group Cb_2 has not relations, then $D_1 \simeq F_2 = F_1 * \mathbb{Z}$ and we have the basis of induction.

We will prove that $Cb_n = D_{n-1} \leftthreetimes Cb_{n-1}$. From Corollary 3.2 subgroup D_{n-1} is normal in Cb_n. We divide defining relations (3)–(5) of Cb_n on three parts which is not intersecting. In the first part we pose defining relations which contain only generators from D_{n-1}. We see that in every pair of generators of D_{n-1} one index is equal to n. Hence in the first part of defining relations only relations from (4) lie, i. e.

$$\varepsilon_{in}\varepsilon_{jn} = \varepsilon_{jn}\varepsilon_{in}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1. \tag{6}$$

Therefore $\varepsilon_{1n}, \varepsilon_{2n}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1,n}$ generate the abelian subgroup of D_{n-1}. Also, it is not hard to check that elements $\varepsilon_{n1}, \varepsilon_{n2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n,n-1}$ are the free generators of free group F_{n-1}. In the second part of relations relations lie only in generators of Cb_{n-1}. The third part of relations relations in generators of D_{n-1} and Cb_{n-1} simultaneously lie. We should prove that this relations define an action of Cb_{n-1} on D_{n-1}.

Consider relations from (3) which include generators of D_{n-1} and Cb_{n-1} simultaneously. This relations look as

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kn} = \varepsilon_{kn}\varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{nk} = \varepsilon_{nk}\varepsilon_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k < n. \tag{7}$$

From these relations we have the following conjugating rules

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{kn}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{kn}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{nk}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{nk}, \quad \nu = \pm 1. \tag{8}$$

If defining relation from (4) includes generators of D_{n-1} and Cb_{n-1}, then it look as

$$\varepsilon_{nj}\varepsilon_{kj} = \varepsilon_{kj}\varepsilon_{nj}, \quad 1 \leq k, j < n.$$

From this relations we have

$$\varepsilon_{kj}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{nj}\varepsilon_{kj}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{nj}, \quad 1 \leq k, j < n \quad \nu = \pm 1. \tag{9}$$

If relation from (5) includes generators of D_{n-1} and Cb_{n-1} simultaneously, then this relation has on of the following three types

$$(\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{nj})\varepsilon_{in} = \varepsilon_{in}(\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{nj}),$$

$$(\varepsilon_{nj}\varepsilon_{ij})\varepsilon_{ni} = \varepsilon_{ni}(\varepsilon_{nj}\varepsilon_{ij}),$$

$$(\varepsilon_{in}\varepsilon_{jn})\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}(\varepsilon_{in}\varepsilon_{jn}), \quad 1 \leq i, j < n.$$

From this relations we have the formulas of conjugating:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{in}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{nj}^{\nu}\varepsilon_{in}\varepsilon_{nj}^{-\nu}, \tag{10}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = \varepsilon_{nj}^{\nu}\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{nj}^{-\nu}, \tag{11}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-\nu}\varepsilon_{jn}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\nu} = [\varepsilon_{nj}^{-\nu}, \varepsilon_{in}]\varepsilon_{jn}, \quad 1 \le i, j < n, \quad \nu = \pm 1. \tag{12}$$

So, defining relations of Cb_n which include generators of D_{n-1} and Cb_{n-1} simultaneously are equivalent to (8)–(12). Hence, we define action of Cb_{n-1} on D_{n-1}. Since $D_{n-1} \bigcap Cb_{n-1} = 1$ then $Cb_n = D_{n-1} \leftthreetimes Cb_{n-1}$. From inductive hypothesis we have the needed decomposition. $\square$

The group of conjugating automorphisms C_n include the group of basis–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n. A. G. Savushkina [22] proved that C_n is a semi direct product $C_n = Cb_n \leftthreetimes S_n$ of Cb_n and symmetric group S_n, where S_n generating by automorphisms:

$$\alpha_i : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \\ x_l \longmapsto x_l, \qquad l \ne i, i+1, \end{cases}$$

$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, and defining relations:

$$\alpha_j^2 = 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{13}$$

$$\alpha_j\alpha_{j+1}\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1}\alpha_j\alpha_{j+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, \tag{14}$$

$$\alpha_k\alpha_l = \alpha_l\alpha_k, \quad 1 \le k, l \le n-1, \quad |k-l| \ge 2. \tag{15}$$

Whole group C_n is generated by ε_{ij}, $1 \le i \ne j \le n$; α_k, $1 \le k \le n-1$ and defined by relations (3)–(5), (13)–(15) and

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\alpha_k = \alpha_k\varepsilon_{ij}, \quad k \ne i-1, i, j-1, j, \tag{16}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\alpha_i = \alpha_i\varepsilon_{i+1,j}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij}\alpha_j = \alpha_j\varepsilon_{i,i+1}, \quad \varepsilon_{i,i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_i\varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \tag{17}$$

(see [22, Lemma 1]).

Evidently, pure braid group P_n is subgroup of Cb_n. We can express generators of P_n over generators of Cb_n.

Lemma 3.4 *Generators of P_n can be express as*

$$a_{i,i+1} = \varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{j-1,i}\varepsilon_{j-2,i}\ldots\varepsilon_{i+1,i}(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1})\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}\ldots\varepsilon_{j-2,i}^{-1}\varepsilon_{j-1,i}^{-1} = \\ &= \varepsilon_{j-1,j}^{-1}\varepsilon_{j-2,j}^{-1}\ldots\varepsilon_{i+1,j}^{-1}(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1})\varepsilon_{i+1,j}\ldots\varepsilon_{j-2,j}\varepsilon_{j-1,j}, \quad 2 \le i+1 < j \le n. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Proof: The formula (18) follows from actions of P_n and Cb_n on free group F_n. For proof of the first part of (19) we should verify that automorphism

$$a_{ij}\varepsilon_{ji}(\varepsilon_{j-1,i}\varepsilon_{j-2,i}\ldots\varepsilon_{i+1,i})\varepsilon_{ij}(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}\ldots\varepsilon_{j-2,i}^{-1}\varepsilon_{j-1,i}^{-1})$$

is identical. For proof of the second part of (19) we should verify relation

$$\varepsilon_{ki}(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1})\varepsilon_{ki}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{kj}^{-1}(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1})\varepsilon_{kj}, \quad i+1 \le k \le j-1. \tag{20}$$

From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\varepsilon_{ki}\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ki}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}[\varepsilon_{kj}, \varepsilon_{ji}], \quad \varepsilon_{ki}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ki}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ji}^{-1}.$$

We use this formulas and rewrite the left–hand side of (20) in the following form

$$\varepsilon_{ki}(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1})\varepsilon_{ki}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}[\varepsilon_{kj}, \varepsilon_{ji}]\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\varepsilon_{kj}^{-1}\varepsilon_{ji}^{-1}\varepsilon_{kj}.$$

Since ε_{ij}^{-1} and ε_{kj}^{-1} are commutative, then we have (20). From this relation we have required formula. □

Now we can complete the proof of the Theorem 3.1. We know that free group U_{i+1} generating by $a_{1,i+1}, a_{2,i+1}, \ldots, a_{i,i+1}$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$a_{k,i+1} = \varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}\varepsilon_{i-1,i+1}^{-1}\ldots\varepsilon_{k+1,i+1}^{-1}(\varepsilon_{k,i+1}^{-1}\varepsilon_{i+1,k}^{-1})\varepsilon_{k+1,i+1}\ldots\varepsilon_{i-1,i+1}\varepsilon_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \le k \le i-1,$$

$$a_{i,i+1} = \varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}.$$

Hence U_{i+1} is subgroup of D_i.

If we use the Tit's transformation, then not hard to prove that D_i generating by $a_{1,i+1}, a_{2,i+1}, \ldots, a_{i,i+1}$; $\varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1}$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

This theorem reduces the description of Cb_n to the description of its subgroups $D_{n-1}, \ldots, D_1$. We can see that this subgroups (with the exception of D_1) have the complicated structure. In [8, p. 167] the hypothesis was formulated that this subgroups are not finitely define.

By Theorem 3.1 we have $Cb_3 = D_2 \leftthreetimes D_1$, where $D_2 =< \varepsilon_{31}, \varepsilon_{32}, \varepsilon_{13}, \varepsilon_{23} >$, $D_1 =< \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{12} > \simeq F_2$. Elements ε_{13} and ε_{23} from D_2 are commute. Let us prove that there are many another relations in D_2. As it was noted in proof of Theorem 3.1 conjugation by element from D_1 induses automorphism of D_2. This implies that if we conjugate relation $\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{13}$ then we get relation from D_2. In particular, conjugating relation $\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{23} = \varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{13}$ by different powers of ε_{12} and ε_{21} we get the following relations

$$[\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{23}, \varepsilon_{32}^{k}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{32}^{-k}] = 1,$$
$$[\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{23}, \varepsilon_{31}^{k}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{31}^{-k}] = 1,$$

for each integer k.

4 Some property of group of conjugating automorphisms

We find the abelianized groups C_n/C_n' and Cb_n/Cb_n' from the following known fact.

Lemma 4.1 *([22, Theorem 1]). Group C_n is generated by automorphisms σ_i, α_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ and determined by relations (1)–(2), (13)–(15) and*

$$\alpha_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\alpha_i, \qquad |i-j| \ge 2, \tag{21}$$

$$\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}, \quad \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}. \tag{22}$$

Proposition 4.1 *a) The abelianized group C_n/C'_n, $n \geq 2$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$.*
b) The abelianized group Cb_n/Cb'_n, $n \geq 2$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)}$.

The group of inner automorphisms $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$ of F_n is subgroup of Cb_n.

Proposition 4.2 *Intersection $B_n \bigcap \mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$, $n \geq 2$, is infinite cyclic group which generating by inner automorphisms that is conjugation by $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$.*

Proof: immediately follows from Artin's theorem (see § 2) which gives description of B_n as subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. Elements from B_n fixing the product $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$. Inner automorphisms which has this property is only conjugation by $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This completes the proof. □

We will show that C_n, $n \geq 2$, can be generated at most 4 elements. By the Lemma 4.1 we have

$$C_2 =< \alpha_1, \sigma_1 \parallel \alpha_1^2 = 1 > \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $n \geq 3$ we have

Proposition 4.3 *The group C_n, $n \geq 3$, can be generated by α_1, α, σ_1, σ with defining relations*

$$\sigma^n = (\sigma\sigma_1)^{n-1}, \quad \sigma_1(\sigma^{-j}\sigma_1\sigma^j) = (\sigma^{-j}\sigma_1\sigma^j)\sigma_1, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n/2, \tag{23}$$

$$\alpha^2 = 1, \quad \alpha^n = (\alpha_1\alpha)^{n-1}, \quad \alpha_1(\alpha^{-j}\alpha_1\alpha^j) = (\alpha^{-j}\alpha_1\alpha^j)\alpha_1, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n/2, \tag{24}$$

$$\alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha^i\sigma^j\sigma_1\sigma^{-j} = \sigma^j\sigma_1\sigma^{-j}\alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha^i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{25}$$

$$\sigma^{i-1}\sigma_1\sigma^{-(i-1)}\alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha\alpha_1\alpha^{i-1} = \alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha\alpha_1\alpha^{i-1}\sigma^i\sigma_1\sigma^{-i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \tag{26}$$

$$\sigma^{i-1}\sigma_1\sigma\sigma_1\sigma^{-i}\alpha^{-(i-1)}\alpha_1\alpha^{i-1} = \alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha^i\sigma^{i-1}\sigma_1\sigma\sigma_1\sigma^{-i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1. \tag{27}$$

New and old generators connecting by formulas

$$\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{n-1}, \quad \alpha = \alpha_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2} \dots \alpha_1, \tag{28}$$

$$\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma^i\sigma_1\sigma^{-i}, \quad \alpha_{i+1} = \alpha^{-i}\alpha_1\alpha^i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \tag{29}$$

Proof: It is well–known [23, Chapter 6] that B_n, $n \geq 3$, is generated by σ_1 and σ and defined by relations (23). Analogous, symmetric group S_n is generated by α_1, α and defined by relations (24). New and old generators are connecting by formulas (28) and (29). Hence in presentation of C_n from the Lemma 4.1 we can replace the generators α_i, σ_i, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, by generators α_1, α, σ_1, σ, and replace relations (1)–(2) by relations (23) and replace relations (13)–(15) by relations (24). Substitute in relations (21)–(22) expression α_i, σ_j through α, α_1, σ_1, σ, we obtain (25)–(27). This completes the proof. □

Let V be a set of words in an alphabet of variables ranging over elements of group G. The subgroup $V(G)$ of the group G generated by all values of words from $V^{\pm 1}$ is called the *verbal subgroup* defined by the set V. The *width* $\mathrm{wid}(G, V)$ of the verbal subgroup $V(G)$ with respect to V is defined to be the minimal number $m \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup \{+\infty\}$ such that any element of $V(G)$ can be presented as a product of $\leq m$ values of words from $V^{\pm 1}$.

Subgroup is called the *proper subgroup* if it is not trivial and it is not all group. The set V is called the *proper set of words*, if the verbal subgroup $V(F_2)$ is a proper subgroup of F_2. If V is

not a proper set of words, then it is called *unproper set of words*. The width of verbal subgroup with respect to unproper set of words is finite [24, Lemma 1]. If in group G each finite proper set of words defines the proper verbal subgroup, then we will said that G *is rich by verbal subgroups*. We will said that G *has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width*, if for each finite proper set V the width $\mathrm{wid}(G, V)$ is infinite.

Some years ago author has proved [25] that the braid group B_n for $n \geq 3$ has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width. This result finishes investigations of some authors which started with the G. S. Makanin's question from "The Kourovka Notebook" (Unsolved problems in group theory) [9].

The width of verbal subgroups for HNN–extensions and groups with one relations were investigated in [26]. The width of derived groups of some matrix groups over rings were investigated in [27]. The connection between the width of derived group, commutator length and equations in free group were studied in [28]. Some Artin groups were investigated in [29]. In this article were proved

Lemma 4.2 *([29, Lemma 3]). If there is an epimorphism from G on group which has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width and which is rich by verbal subgroups, then G also has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width.*

We will use this lemma and prove

Proposition 4.4 *The group of bases–conjugating automorphisms Cb_n for $n \geq 2$ has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width.*

Proof: By the Theorem 3.1 there exists an epimorphism of Cb_n for $n \geq 2$ on Cb_2. The group Cb_2 is isomorphic to F_2. From result of A. H. Rhemtulla [24] we have that F_2 has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width and it is evident that F_2 is rich by verbal subgroups. The result follows from the Lemma 4.2. □

Question 4 *Is it true that for $n \geq 2$ the group C_n has not proper verbal subgroups of finite width?*

5 Expansion of known linear representations of braid group on C_n

The Burau representation $\psi : B_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_n)$ maps B_n in group of automorphisms $\mathrm{GL}(W_n)$ of free n dimension module W_n over ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials with an integer coefficients. If $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ is a free basis of W_n, then $\psi(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ acts by the rule (we will write $\sigma_i(w_j)$ or $w_j^{\sigma_i}$ instead $\psi(\sigma_i)(w_j)$ or $w_j^{\psi(\sigma_i)}$ accordingly):

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_i(w_i) = (1-q)w_i + qw_{i+1}, \\ &\sigma_i(w_{i+1}) = w_i, \\ &\sigma_i(w_l) = w_l, \quad \text{if} \quad l \neq i, i+1. \end{aligned}$$

We will consider right action of B_n on W_n.

A. G. Savushkina [22] proved that group of conjugating automorphisms C_n generating by automorphisms $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ of free group F_n. The set $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ generates braid group B_n and satisfy relations (1)–(2). The set of automorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ generates the symmetric group S_n which defines by relations (13)–(15). Group C_n is defined by relations of B_n, S_n and relations

$$\alpha_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\alpha_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{30}$$

$$\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2, \tag{31}$$

$$\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2. \tag{32}$$

Proposition 5.1 *There is a linear representations $\widetilde{\psi} : C_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_n)$ of C_n which is an expansion of Burau representation $\psi : B_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_n)$.*

Proof: For the generators of braid group B_n we define $\widetilde{\psi}(\sigma_i) = \psi(\sigma_i)$. For the generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ define the action on basis of W_n by equalities

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha_i(w_i) = w_{i+1}, \\ \alpha_i(w_{i+1}) = w_i, \\ \alpha_i(w_l) = w_l, \quad \text{if} \quad l \neq i, i+1. \end{array}$$

Every elements of C_n is a word in alphabet $\sigma_1^{\pm 1}, \sigma_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Hence we defined the map $\widetilde{\psi} : C_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_n)$. We should prove that this map is homomorphism. For this we should prove that all relations of C_n are carry out in $\widetilde{\psi}(C_n)$. It is a simple exercise. The proposition is proved. $\square$

We remind (see [14, 15, 16]) definition of faithful linear representation of Lawrence–Krammer braid group B_n. Let V_n be a free module dimension $m = n(n-1)/2$ with basis v_{ij}, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, over ring $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials on two variables. Then representation $\rho : B_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ was defined by action by σ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, on module V_n by equalities

$$\sigma_i(v_{k,i}) = (1-q)v_{k,i} + qv_{k,i+1} + q(q-1)v_{i,i+1},$$

$$\sigma_i(v_{k,i+1}) = v_{k,i} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$\sigma_i(v_{i,i+1}) = tq^2v_{i,i+1},$$

$$\sigma_i(v_{i,l}) = tq(q-1)v_{i,i+1} + (1-q)v_{i,l} + qv_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+1 < l,$$

$$\sigma_i(v_{i+1,l}) = v_{i,l},$$

$$\sigma_i(v_{k,l}) = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i, i+1\} = \emptyset.$$

From this equalities it is not difficult to find the action by σ_i^{-1}:

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{k,i}) = v_{k,i+1},$$

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{k,i+1}) = q^{-1}v_{k,i} + q^{-1}(q-1)v_{k,i+1} - t^{-1}q^{-2}(q-1)v_{i,i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{i,i+1}) = t^{-1}q^{-2}v_{i,i+1},$$

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{i,l}) = v_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+1 < l,$$

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{i+1,l}) = -q^{-2}(q-1)v_{i,i+1} + q^{-1}v_{i,l} + q^{-1}(q-1)v_{i+1,l},$$

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(v_{k,l}) = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i,i+1\} = \emptyset.$$

and the actions by $a_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1)$:

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{k,i}) = (q^2 - q + 1)v_{k,i} + q(1-q)v_{k,i+1} + q(q-1)(tq^2 - q + 1)v_{i,i+1},$$

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{k,i+1}) = (1-q)v_{k,i} + qv_{k,i+1} + q(q-1)v_{i,i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{i,i+1}) = t^2q^4v_{i,i+1},$$

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{i,l}) = tq(q-1)(tq^2 - q + 1)v_{i,i+1} + (q^2 - q + 1)v_{i,l} + q(1-q)v_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+1 < l,$$

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{i+1,l}) = tq(q-1)v_{i,i+1} + (1-q)v_{i,l} + qv_{i+1,l},$$

$$a_{i,i+1}(v_{k,l}) = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i,i+1\} = \emptyset.$$

We want to expansion the representation of Lawrence–Krammer on C_n. For this we should define the action of S_n on module V_n. Let S_n act on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ by permutation. If π is a permutation from S_n, then let $\pi(v_{ij}) = v_{\pi(i),\pi(j)}$. Thus automorphism α_i act on basis of V_n by the rules:

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,i}) = v_{k,i+1},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,i+1}) = v_{k,i} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i,i+1}) = v_{i,i+1},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i,l}) = v_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+1 < l,$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i+1,l}) = v_{i,l},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,l}) = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i,i+1\} = \emptyset.$$

It is not difficult to see that this action define a homomorphism from S_n to $\mathrm{GL}(V_n)$. For this we note that equalities for action of α_i are obtained from equalities for action of σ_i if we take $q = t = 1$. Hence relations (14)–(15) are valid. The relation $\alpha_i^2 = 1$ also are valid that is following from the action of $a_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2$ on V_n. Hence we construct the linear representation of S_n. As

well–known if $n \geq 5$ then S_n has a simple subgroup A_n of index 2 and our representation are faithful. We have proved

Lemma 5.1 *The linear representation $S_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ constructed above are faithful linear representation of S_n.*

We have the map of C_n in $\mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ to be defined by action on generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. This map is keep all relations which include only generators α_i and keep all relations which include only generators σ_i. We should consider remaining relations. It is not difficult to show that all relations

$$\alpha_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \alpha_i, \quad \text{if} \quad |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i,$$

are keep.

Consider relation $\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}$. Acting by the left–hand side of this relations on basis of V_n we have

$$v_{k,i}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = (1-q)v_{k,i+1} + qv_{k,i+2} + q(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2},$$

$$v_{k,i+1}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{k,i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$v_{i,i+1}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = tq^2 v_{i+1,i+2},$$

$$v_{i,l}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = tq(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2} + (1-q)v_{i+1,l} + qv_{i+2,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+2 < l,$$

$$v_{i,i+2}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = tq(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2} + (1-q)v_{i,i+1} + qv_{i,i+2},$$

$$v_{i+1,l}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad l > i+2,$$

$$v_{i+1,i+2}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{i,i+1},$$

$$v_{k,l}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i, i+1, i+2\} = \emptyset,$$

$$v_{k,i+2}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{k,i} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$v_{i+2,l}^{\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i} = v_{i,l}.$$

Acting by the right–hand side of this relations, we have

$$v_{k,i}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = (1-q)v_{k,i+1} + qv_{k,i+2} + q(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2},$$

$$v_{k,i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{k,i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$v_{i,i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = tq^2 v_{i+1,i+2},$$

$$v_{i,l}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = tq(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2} + (1-q)v_{i+1,l} + qv_{i+2,l} \quad \text{if} \quad i+2 < l,$$

$$v_{i,i+2}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = (1-q)v_{i,i+1} + qv_{i,i+2} + q(q-1)v_{i+1,i+2},$$

$$v_{i+1,l}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{i+1,l} \quad \text{if} \quad l > i+2,$$

$$v_{i+1,i+2}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{i,i+1},$$

$$v_{k,l}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{k,l} \quad \text{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i, i+1, i+2\} = \emptyset,$$

$$v_{k,i+2}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{k,i} \quad \text{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$v_{i+2,l}^{\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}} = v_{i,l}.$$

Comparing the actions of automorphisms $\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}$ on $v_{i,i+2}$ we see that they are equal if and only if $t = 1$. Therefore the following proposition is true

Proposition 5.2 *The map $\rho : C_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ constructed above is a linear representation if and only if $t = 1$.*

For $t = 1$ B_n–module V_n is isomorphic to the symmetric square of B_n–module W and in this case representation $\rho : C_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ is unfaithful for $n \geq 5$. The question of the faithfulity of this representation for $n = 3, 4$ remained open.

We can define the action of α_i on V_n by another way. Not difficult to prove

Lemma 5.2 *For every nonzero number $x \in \mathbb{C}$ the map $\rho_1 : S_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ defining by action of α_i on the V_n by equalities*

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,i}) = xv_{k,i+1},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,i+1}) = x^{-1}v_{k,i} \quad \textit{if} \quad k < i,$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i,i+1}) = v_{i,i+1},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i,l}) = xv_{i+1,l} \quad \textit{if} \quad i+1 < l,$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{i+1,l}) = x^{-1}v_{i,l},$$

$$\alpha_i(v_{k,l}) = v_{k,l} \quad \textit{if} \quad \{k,l\} \bigcap \{i, i+1\} = \emptyset.$$

is the faithful linear representation.

If we will expand the map ρ_1 on all C_n defining $\rho_1(\sigma_i) = \rho(\sigma_i)$, then we will have the map $\rho_1 : C_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$. We see that this map keeps relations

$$\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_i\sigma_{i+1},$$

$$\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i$$

if we take $x = t^{-1/3}$. but in this case the relation $\alpha_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\alpha_i$ is not keep since

$$v_{i,j}^{\alpha_i\sigma_j} = x(1-q)v_{i+1,j} + xqv_{i+1,j+1} + xq(q-1)v_{j.j+1},$$

$$v_{i,j}^{\sigma_j\alpha_i} = x(1-q)v_{i+1,j} + xqv_{i+1,j+1} + q(q-1)v_{j.j+1},$$

and $v_{i,j}^{\alpha_i\sigma_j} = v_{i,j}^{\sigma_j\alpha_i}$ if and only if $x = 1$. Since there not exist relations $\alpha_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\alpha_i$ in C_3 then we have

Proposition 5.3 *The linear representation $\rho_1 : C_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_3)$ is expansion of Lawrence–Krammer representation on C_3.*

6 Linear representations of braid groups of some manifolds

As it was proved (see [7, Theorem 1.11; 32]) that braid group $B_n(S^2)$ of the 2–sphere S^2 admits a presentation with generators $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$ and defining relations:

$$\delta_i\delta_{i+1}\delta_i = \delta_{i+1}\delta_i\delta_{i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2,$$

$$\delta_i\delta_j = \delta_j\delta_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i-j| \quad \geq 2,$$
$$\delta_1\delta_2\ldots\delta_{n-2}\delta_{n-1}^2\delta_{n-2}\ldots\delta_2\delta_1 = 1.$$

From this relations we see that $B_n(S^2)$ is a homomorphic image of B_n. Since $B_2(S^2)$ is a cyclic group of order 2 and $B_3(S^2)$ is a metacyclic group of order 12, then we will consider $n > 3$.

R. Gillette and J. Van Buskirk [30] have studied the structure of $B_n(S^2)$. We recall some of their results. Let

$$a_{i,i} = 1, \quad a_{i,j} = \delta_i^{-1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\ldots\delta_{j-2}^{-1}\delta_{j-1}^2\delta_{j-2}\ldots\delta_{i+1}\delta_i, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{33}$$

These elements generate the pure braid group $P_n(S^2)$ which is a kernel of homomorphism $\nu : B_n(S^2) \longrightarrow S_n$ and ν send δ_i in transposition $(i, i+1)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from S_n. Let define the subgroup $A_{n-i+1} =< a_{i,i+1}, a_{i,i+2}, \ldots, a_{i,n} >$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Subgroup A_n is normal in $P_n(S^2)$ and we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_n \longrightarrow P_n(S^2) \longrightarrow P_{n-1}(S^2) \longrightarrow 1$$

and $P_n(S^2)$ is a semi direct product: $P_n(S^2) = A_n \leftthreetimes P_{n-1}(S^2)$. The generators of A_n are connected by the relation

$$a_{1,2}a_{1,3}\ldots a_{1,n} = 1.$$

Since the following relations are hold in $P_n(S^2)$

$$a_{i,i+1}a_{i,i+2}\dots a_{i,i+n-1}=1,\quad i=1,2,\dots,n-1,$$

where $a_{j,i}=a_{i,j}$ if $j>i$ and indices are taken mod$n$, then

$$a_{i,n}=a_{i,n-1}^{-1}\dots a_{i,i+1}^{-1}a_{i-1,i}^{-1}\dots a_{1,i}^{-1},\quad i=1,2,\dots,n-1.$$

Using this formulas we can exclude $a_{1,n},a_{2,n},\dots,a_{n-1,n}$ from the set of generators of $P_n(S^2)$. Hence A_{n-i+1} is freely generated by $a_{i,i+1},a_{i,i+2},\dots,a_{i,n-1}$.

The center of $B_n(S^2)$ is the cyclic group of order 2 generated by the Dirac braid

$$\Delta_n=(\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_{n-2})^{n-1}=(a_{1,2}a_{1,3}\dots a_{1,n-1})(a_{2,3}a_{2,4}\dots a_{2,n-1})\dots(a_{n-2,n-1}).$$

The group $P_n(S^2)$ was split in the semi direct product

$$P_n(S^2)=A_n\leftthreetimes(A_{n-1}\leftthreetimes(\dots\leftthreetimes A_3)\dots).$$

Let $L_n=A_n\leftthreetimes(A_{n-1}\leftthreetimes(\dots\leftthreetimes A_4)\dots)$. Since A_3 is a cyclic group generating by $a_{n-2,n-1}$, then $P_n(S^2)=L_n\times<\Delta_n>\simeq L_n\times\mathbb{Z}_2$. Group L_n is isomorphic to subgroup $U_n\leftthreetimes(U_{n-1}\leftthreetimes(\dots\leftthreetimes U_4)\dots)\leq P_n$.

The braid group of sphere closed relates with the group $M(0,n)$ of mapping classes of the n–punctured 2–sphere. Presentation of the $M(0,n)$ derived from that of $B_n(S^2)$ by adding the relation: $\Delta_n=(\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_{n-2})^{n-1}=1$. There is an epimorphism from $B_n(S^2)$ to $M(0,n)$. The kernel of this epimorphism is equal to the center of $B_n(S^2)$. A mapping class group of the n–punctured 2–sphere can be visualized as an n–string braid between concentric 2–spheres, where the inner sphere is free to execute full revolutions.

For $M(0,n)$ there is a short exact sequence

$$1\longrightarrow L_n\longrightarrow M(0,n)\longrightarrow S_n\longrightarrow 1,$$

where L_n is a kernel of epimorphism $\nu:M(0,n)\longrightarrow S_n$ that map δ_i to the transposition $(i,i+1)$, $i=1,2,\dots,n-2$.

A. I. Malcev [31, Lemma 1; 21] have proved that if H is subgroup of finite index in group G and H is linear, then G is linear too. Recall his construction. Let $|G:H|=m$ and $\psi:H\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_l(F)$ is a faithful linear representation of H by matrices of order l over field F. In this case G is a union of right cosets

$$G=He\bigcup Hg_2\bigcup\dots\bigcup Hg_m.$$

For each $g\in G$ we can write the product g_ig uniquely in the form $h_ig_{n_i}$, $h_i\in H$. Therefore for each g we have the sequence $h_1,h_2,\dots,h_m$ from H and the sequence numbers $n_1,n_2,\dots,n_m$. For the sequence $\{n_i\}$ we construct the matrix $D(n_i)$ with integer coefficients by the rule:

$$D(n_i)=\parallel d_{j,k}\parallel\in M_{lm}(\mathbb{Z}),\quad d_{j,n_j}=E_l,\quad d_{j,k}=0\quad\text{if}\quad k\neq n_j,$$

where E_l is identical matrix of order l. Then we can map $g\in G$ to the matrix

$$\mathrm{diag}(\psi(h_1),\psi(h_2),\dots,\psi(h_m))D(n_i).$$

Hence we constructed the linear representation of G in $\mathrm{GL}_{lm}(F)$. This linear representation is faithful. Using this construction we will prove

Theorem 6.1 *Let $R=\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm1},q^{\pm1}]$ be a ring of Laurent polynomials on two variables. Then the groups $M(0,n)$ and $B_n(S^2)$ are linear for each $n\geq 2$. For each $n\geq 4$ there are inclusion maps $\varphi:M(0,n)\longrightarrow\mathrm{GL}_m(R)$ and $\varphi_1:B_n(S^2)\longrightarrow\mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(R)$, where $m=(n-1)(n-2)n!/2$, $m_1=2m$.*

Proof: As we noted above, if $n = 2, 3$ groups $M(0,n)$, $B_n(S^2)$ are finite and hence are linear. Since L_n is isomorphic to subgroup of B_n, then there are faithful linear representation $\rho : L_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_l(R)$ for $l = (n-1)(n-2)/2$. This representation is induced by Lawrence–Krammer representation of B_n.

Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n!}$ be coset representatives of $M(0,n)$ by subgroup L_n. Since $M(0,n)$ is generated by $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$ then we can define φ only on these elements. Each generator δ_k acts on set of coset representatives by permutation. We find

$$m_i \delta_k = h_i^k m_{\pi_k(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n!, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1,$$

where symbol k in the upper part is an index but not exponent (this rule will be true to the end of this proof). To compare with δ_k the matrix

$$\varphi(\delta_k) = \mathrm{diag}(\rho(h_1^k), \rho(h_2^k), \ldots, \rho(h_{n!}^k))\pi(\delta_k) \in \mathrm{GL}_m(R),$$

where $\mathrm{diag}(\rho(h_1^k), \rho(h_2^k), \ldots, \rho(h_{n!}^k))$ is a block–diagonal matrix; $\pi(\delta_k)$ is a block–monomial matrix in which the block on position $(j, \pi(j))$ is an identical matrix of order l, but the block on position (j,s) for $s \neq \pi(j)$ is a null matrix of order l. This linear representation φ is a faithful representation of $M(0,n)$.

Consider the group $B_n(S^2)$. This group contains the linear subgroup L_n index $2n!$. As a set of right coset representatives of $B_n(S^2)$ by subgroup L_n we take elements $m_i \Delta_n^\epsilon$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n!$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$, where Δ_n is the generator of center of $B_n(S^2)$. Since $B_n(S^2)$ is generated by $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$ then it is enough to define representation φ_1 on these elements. Let us ordere the coset representatives $m_i \Delta_n^\epsilon$ and denote them by $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2n!}$. Each generator δ_k of $B_n(S^2)$ acts on these representatives by the rules

$$n_j \delta_k = g_j^k n_{\pi_k(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n!, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

To compare with δ_k the matrix

$$\varphi_1(\delta_k) = \mathrm{diag}(\rho(g_1^k), \rho(g_2^k), \ldots, \rho(g_{2n!}^k))\pi(\delta_k) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(R),$$

where $\mathrm{diag}(\rho(g_1^k), \rho(g_2^k), \ldots, \rho(g_{2n!}^k))$ is a block–diagonal matrix and $\pi(\delta_k)$ is a block–monomial matrix in which the block on position $(j, \pi(j))$ is an identical matrix of order l but the block on position (j,s) for $s \neq \pi(j)$ is a null matrix of order l. This linear representation φ_1 is a faithful representation of $B_n(S^2)$ over ring R. Since R is included in the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ (it is enough to take numbers t and q which are non–zero transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$) then we obtain the required assertions. $\square$

Let P^2 be a projective plane. The braid group $B_n(P^2)$, $n \geq 1$, admits a presentation [32] with generators $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$, $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n$ and defining relations:

$$\delta_i \delta_{i+1} \delta_i = \delta_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1} \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2,$$

$$\delta_i \delta_j = \delta_j \delta_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i-j| \geq 2,$$

$$\delta_i \rho_j = \rho_j \delta_i, \quad j \neq i, i+1,$$

$$\rho_i = \delta_i \rho_{i+1} \delta_i \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-1,$$

$$\rho_{i+1}^{-1}\rho_i^{-1}\rho_{i+1}\rho_i = \delta_i^2 \quad \text{if} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-1,$$
$$\delta_1\delta_2 \dots \delta_{n-2}\delta_{n-1}^2\delta_{n-2} \dots \delta_2\delta_1 = \rho_1^2.$$

It is clear that the map taking δ_i onto the transposition $(i, i+1)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and each ρ_j onto the identity is homomorphism of $B_n(P^2)$ onto the symmetric group S_n, with kernel $P_n(P^2)$.

The group $B_1(P^2)$ is a cyclic group of order 2, $B_2(P^2)$ is a finite group of order 16. For $n \geq 3$ the group $B_n(P^2)$ is infinite. Consider the case $n = 3$. The group $P_3(P^2)$ contains a free subgroup $A_3(P^2) =< \rho_1, a_2, a_3 \parallel a_2a_3 = \rho_1^2 >$, where $a_2 = \delta_1^2$, $a_3 = \delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^2\delta_1$. The factor group $P_3(P^2)/A_3(P^2) \simeq P_2(P^2)$ is a quaternion group of order 8. The set

$$\{1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_2\delta_1, \delta_1\delta_2, \delta_1\delta_2\delta_1\}$$

is a right coset representatives of $B_3(P^2)$ by $P_3(P^2)$. Note that $P_2(P^2)$ has a presentation

$$P_2(P^2) =< \rho_2, \rho_3 \parallel \rho_2^2 = \rho_3^2 = (\rho_3\rho_2)^2 > .$$

In $P_3(P^2)$ the following relations are true:

$$\rho_3^{-\epsilon}a_2\rho_3^{\epsilon} = a_2, \quad \epsilon = \pm 1, \quad \rho_j^{-1}\rho_1\rho_j = \rho_1 a_j^{-1}, \quad j = 2, 3,$$

$$\rho_2^{-1}a_3\rho_2 = a_2a_3a_2^{-1}, \quad \rho_2^{-1}a_2\rho_2 = \rho_1 a_2^{-1}\rho_1^{-1}.$$

From defining relations of $B_3(P^2)$ we get δ_1, δ_2.

Lemma 6.1 *In $B_3(P^2)$ the following rules of conjugating are true*

$$a_2^{\delta_1} = a_2, \quad \rho_1^{\delta_1} = \rho_2 a_2, \quad \rho_2^{\delta_1} = a_2^{-1}\rho_1, \quad \rho_3^{\delta_1} = \rho_3,$$

$$a_2^{\delta_2} = \rho_1^2 a_2^{-1}, \quad \rho_1^{\delta_2} = \rho_1, \quad \rho_2^{\delta_2} = \rho_3\delta_2^2, \quad \rho_3^{\delta_2} = \delta_2^{-2}\rho_2.$$

Since $A_3(P^2)$ is a free group with free generators ρ_1, a_2 then using Sanov's representation [21] we can include $A_3(P^2)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ assuming

$$\overline{\rho}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{a}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

as images of ρ_1 and a_2 accordingly.

The group $P_3(P^2)$ is an extension of $A_3(P^2)$ by the group of quaternion of order 8. Let as chose the set

$$\{e, \rho_2, \rho_2^2, \rho_2^3, \rho_3, \rho_3\rho_2, \rho_3\rho_2^2, \rho_3\rho_2^3\}$$

as the set of coset representatives of $P_3(P^2)$ by $A_3(P^2)$. Thus the free group $A_3(P^2)$ has index 48 in $B_3(P^2)$. Using Malcev's construction we get

Theorem 6.2 *Braid group $B_3(P^2)$ of projective plane P^2 is included in* $\mathrm{SL}_{96}(\mathbb{Z})$.

7 The faithful linear representation of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$

It is known [17] that $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ is not linear for $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$ then in [20] was proved that $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$ is linear if and only if B_4 is. Since B_4 is linear then we can construct the faithful linear representation of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$. Recall some results from [20].

Let $B_4^* = B_4/Z(B_4)$ where $Z(B_4)$ is a center of B_4. As it was noted above $Z(B_4)$ is an infinite cyclic group generating by $\Delta_4 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^4$. The group B_4^* is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}^+(F_2)$ which is preimage of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by homomorphism $\xi : \mathrm{Aut}(F_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ sending automorphism of F_2 to automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}^+(F_2)$ is subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$.

Let $\rho : B_4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_6(\mathbb{C})$ be a faithful linear representation of Lawrense–Krammer. M. Zino [33] have proved that this representation is irreducible.

Denote by F subgroup of B_4 generating by $x = \sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}$, $y = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$. This group is free with free generators x, y and is normal in B_4. Inner automorphisms of B_4 induced automorphisms of F, i. e., there is epimorphism $h : B_4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^+(F_2)$. The kernel of this epimorphism is equal to the center of B_4 and images of generators σ_1, σ_2, σ_3 defining automorphisms

$$h(\sigma_1) = \alpha_1 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto x, \\ y \longmapsto yx^{-1}, \end{array} \right. \qquad h(\sigma_2) = \alpha_2 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto y, \\ y \longmapsto yx^{-1}y, \end{array} \right. \qquad h(\sigma_3) = \alpha_3 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto x, \\ y \longmapsto x^{-1}y. \end{array} \right.$$

The whole group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$ is generated by automorphisms

$$P : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto y, \\ y \longmapsto x, \end{array} \right. \qquad \omega : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto x^{-1}, \\ y \longmapsto y, \end{array} \right. \qquad U : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \longmapsto xy, \\ y \longmapsto y, \end{array} \right.$$

and defined by relations

$$P^2 = \omega^2 = (\omega P)^4 = (P\omega PU)^2 = (UP\omega)^3 = [\omega, \omega U\omega] = 1.$$

The following formulas are hold

$$\alpha_1 = PU^{-1}P, \quad \alpha_2 = PU\omega U^{-1}, \quad \alpha_3 = P\omega U\omega P.$$

Using the Lawrense–Krammer representation $\rho : B_4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_6(\mathbb{C})$ we find the images of generators of B_4:

$$\rho_1 = \rho(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} tq^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ tq(q-1) & 1-q & 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\ tq(q-1) & 0 & 1-q & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho_2 = \rho(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & q & 0 & q(q-1) & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & tq^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & tq(q-1) & 1-q & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho_3 = \rho(\sigma_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-q & q & 0 & 0 & q(q-1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-q & q & q(q-1) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & tq^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to check that $(\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3)^4 = t^2q^8E_6$ is the image of center of B_4. Let $\mu = 1/\sqrt[12]{t^2q^8}$ and define $\overline{\rho}(\sigma_i) = \mu\rho(\sigma_i) = \mu\rho_i$. Then $\overline{\rho}$ induce the faithful linear representation of $B_4^* \simeq \mathrm{Aut}^+F_2$.

Since Aut^+F_2 is subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}F_2$ then e and ω is a right coset representatieves. It is not difficult to check

Lemma 7.1 *In* $\mathrm{Aut}F_2$ *the following equalities are hold*

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) & \omega\alpha_1 = \alpha_1^{-1}\omega, \\ 2) & \omega\alpha_2 = \alpha_3\alpha_1\alpha_2^{-1}\alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_3^{-1}\omega, \\ 3) & \omega\alpha_3 = \alpha_3^{-1}\omega. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Aut}F_2$ is generated by automorphisms α_1, α_2, α_3, ω, then acting on coset representatives of $\mathrm{Aut}F_2$ by Aut^+F_2 we find the correspondence diagonal and monomial matrix. Define

$$\psi(\alpha_1) = \mathrm{diag}(\overline{\rho}_1, (\overline{\rho}_1)^{-1}), \quad \psi(\alpha_2) = \mathrm{diag}(\overline{\rho}_2, \overline{\rho}_3\overline{\rho}_1(\overline{\rho}_2)^{-1}(\overline{\rho}_1)^{-1}(\overline{\rho}_3)^{-1}),$$

$$\psi(\alpha_3) = \mathrm{diag}(\overline{\rho}_3, (\overline{\rho}_3)^{-1}), \quad \psi(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_6 \\ E_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_6 is the identical matrix of order 6 and $\overline{\rho}_i$ defined above. If we conjugate this representation by matrix $c = \mathrm{diag}(E_6, \overline{\rho}_3\overline{\rho}_1)$ we receive the new representation:

$$\overline{\psi}(\alpha_i) = c^{-1}\psi(\alpha_i)c = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\rho}_i & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\rho}_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1,2,3; \quad \overline{\psi}(\omega) = c^{-1}\psi(\omega)c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\rho}_3\overline{\rho}_1 \\ (\overline{\rho}_3\overline{\rho}_1)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The following theorem is true

Theorem 7.1 *The map* $\overline{\psi} : \mathrm{Aut}F_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{12}(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm1}, q^{\pm1}])$, *defining on generators in (34) is a faithful linear representation of* $\mathrm{Aut}F_2$.

REFERENCES

1. D. Z. Djokovic, The structure of the automorphism group of a free group on two generators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88, N 2 (1983), 218–220.

2. G. T. Kozlov, The structure of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_2)$, Algebra, Logika i prilozhenija, Irkutsk, IGU, 1994, 28–32 (in Russian).

3. R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, Combinatorial group theory, Springer–Verlag, 1977.

4. S. Krstic, J. McCool, The non-finite presentability of $\mathrm{IA}(F_3)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$, Inven. Math., 129, N 3 (1997), 595–606.

5. J. McCool, On basis–conjugating automorphisms of free groups, Can. J. Math., 38, N 6 (1986), 1525–1529.

6. A. A. Markov, Foundations of the algebraic theory of braids, Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov, 1945, 16, 1–54 (in Russian).

7. J. S. Birman, Braids, links and mapping class group, Princeton–Tokyo: Univ. press, 1974.

8. D. J. Collins, N. D. Gilbert, Structure and torsion in automorphism groups of free products, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 41, N 162 (1990), 155–178.

9. The Kourovka Notebook (Unsolved problems in group theory), 15th edn., Institute of Mathematics SO RAN, Novosibirsk 2002.

10. W. Burau, Uber Zopfgruppen und gleichsinnig verdrillte Verkettungen, Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg Univ, 11, 1936, 179–186.

11. J. A. Moody, The Burau representation of the braid group B_n is unfaithful for large n, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 25, N 2 (1991), 379-384.

12. D. D. Long, M. Paton, The Burau representation is not faithful for $n \geq 6$, Topology, 32, N 2 (1993), 439-447.

13. S. Bigelow, The Burau representation of B_5 is not faithful, Geom. Topology, 3, (1999), 397-404.

14. R. J. Lawrence, Homological representation of the Hecke Algebra, Commun. Math. Phys., 135, N 1 (1990), 141-191.

15. D. Krammer, Braid groups are linear, Annals of Math., 155, N 1 (2002), 131-156.

16. S. Bigelow, Braid groups are linear, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 14, (2001), 471-486.

17. E. Formanek, C. Procesi, The automorphism groups of a free group is not linear, J. Algebra, 149, N 2 (1992), 494–499.

18. V. G. Bardakov, M. V. Nechshadim, Some property of braid groups of compact oriented 2–manifolds, IV International Algebraic Conference dedicated to the 60th anniversary of Yu. I. Merzljakov, Novosibirsk, Institute of Mathematics, 2000, 9–13 (in Russian).

19. S. Bigelow, R. D. Budney, The mapping class group of genus two surface is linear, to appear in "Algebraic and Geometric Topology".

20. J. L. Dyer, E. Formanek, E. K. Grossman, On the linearity of automorphism groups of free groups, Arch. Math., 38, N 5 (1982), 404–409.

21. M. I. Kargapolov, Yu. I. Merzljakov, Fundamentals of the theory of groups, New York: Springer, 1979.

22. A. G. Savushkina, On group of conjugating automorphisms of free group, Matem. Zametki, 60, N 1 (1996), 92–108 (in Russian).

23. H. S. M. Coxeter, H. W. O. J. Mozer, Generators and relations for discrete groups, Berlin; Heidelberg; New York: Springer, 1957.

24. A. H. Rhemtulla, A problem of bounded expressibility in free products, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 64, N 3 (1969), 573–584.

25. V. G. Bardakov, On the theory of braid groups, Russ. Acad. Sci., Sb. Math., 76, N 1 (1993), 123–153 (Zbl 0798.20029).

26. V. G. Bardakov, On the width of verbal subgroups of certain free constructions, Algebra Logic, 36, N 5 (1997), 288–301 (Zbl 0941.20017).

27. V. G. Bardakov, On the decomposition of automorphisms of free modules into simple factors, Izv. Ross. Acad. Nauk, Ser. Mat., 59, N 2 (1995), 109–128 (Zbl 0896.20031).

28. V. G. Bardakov, Computing the commutator length in free groups, Algebra Log., 39, N 4 (2000), 395–440 (Zbl 096.20019).

29. V. G. Bardakov, The width of verbal subgroups of some Artin groups, Lavrent'ev (ed.), Group and metric properties of mappings. Novosibirsk: Novosibirskij Gosudarstvennyj Universitet, 8–18 (1995), (in Russian) (Zbl 0943.20034).

30. R. Gillette, J. Van Buskirk, The word problem and consequences for the braid groups and mapping class groups of the 2–sphere, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 131, N 2 (1968), 277–296.

31. A. I. Malcev, On isomorphic representation of infinite groups by matrix, Matem. Sb., 8, N 3 (1940), 405–422 (in Russian).

32. J. Van Buskirk, Braid groups of compact 2–manifolds with elements of finite order, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 122, N 1 (1966), 81–97.

33. M. G. Zinno, On Krammer's representation of the braid group, Math. Ann., 321, N 1 (2000), 197–211.

Author address:

Valerij Bardakov
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,
Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
bardakov@math.nsc.ru